

Figure S8. (A) **HMG/SOX DNA-binding domains.** *Top,* 2-D Hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis of relative ranks for 310 8-mers x 21 HMG/SOX DNA-binding domains (with Sox7 as both DBD and FL). The 310 8-mers were selected because they have an E-score of 0.45 or greater for at least one of the DBDs shown. Each of the 310 8-mers was then given a rank score (between 1 and 310) within each column, and the ranks were analyzed here, in order to compensate for any overall differences in magnitude of the E-scores. *Bottom*, 6-mer sequences that are preferred within the 8-mers shown in the top panel. *Next page*, Seed-and-Wobble logos are shown next to a ClustalW phylogram derived using the amino-acid sequences of the DNA-binding domains.





Figure S8. (B) AP-2 DNA-binding domains. 2-D Hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis of relative ranks for 71 8-mers x 4 AP-2 DNA-binding domains. The 71 8-mers were selected because they have an E-score of 0.45 or greater for at least one of the TFs shown. Each of the 71 8-mers was then given a rank score (between 1 and 71) within each column and the ranks were analyzed, in order to compensate for any overall differences in magnitude of the E-scores.



Figure S8. (C) **ARID/BRIGHT DNA-binding domains.** *Top,* 2-D Hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis of relative ranks for 119 8-mers x 3 ARID/BRIGHT DNA-binding domains. The 119 8-mers were selected because they have an E-score of 0.45 or greater for at least one of the TFs shown. Each of the 119 8-mers was then given a rank score (between 1 and 119) within each column and the ranks were analyzed, in order to compensate for any overall differences in magnitude of the E-scores. *Bottom*, 6mer sequences that are preferred within the 8-mers shown in the top panel.









Figure S8. (F) E2F DNA-binding domains. 2-D Hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis of relative ranks for 260 8-mers x 4 E2F DNA-binding domains. The 260 8-mers were selected because they have an E-score of 0.45 or greater for at least one of the TFs shown. Each of the 260 8-mers was then given a rank score (between 1 and 260) within each column and the ranks were analyzed, in order to compensate for any overall differences in magnitude of the E-scores.















GTAACTAA GTAACTAC CGTAACTA GGTAACTA GGTAACTA AGTAACTA CTAGTTAC ATAGTTAC CGTAGTTA

TAGTAACA GTAGTAAC ATAGTAAC CTAGTAAC GTTACTAA GGTTACTA CGTTACTA

TAGTAA

TAACTA



Figure S8. (M) RFX DNA-binding domains. *Top*, 2-D Hierarchical agglomerative clustering analysis of relative ranks for 94 8-mers x 3 IRF DNA-binding domains (with Rfx3 as both DBD and FL). The 94 8-mers were selected because they have an E-score of 0.45 or greater for at least one of the TFs shown. Each of the 94 8-mers was then given a rank score (between 1 and 94) within each column and the ranks were analyzed, in order to compensate for any overall differences in magnitude of the E-scores. *Middle*, 6-mer sequences that are preferred within the 8-mers shown in the top panel. *Bottom*, Seed-and-Wobble logos are shown next to a ClustalW phylogram derived using the amino-acid sequences of the DNA-binding domains.

